THE EFFECT OF ANTENATAL CARE ON FOETAL OUTCOME IN TWIN GESTATIONS*

by

K. Modi, ** M.D.

and

K. GANESH.*** M.D.

Introduction

Perinatal mortality is very high in India specially so for twin babies. It is reported to be 4 times more common in twin gestations as compared to singletons (Roy Choudhury and Sikdar, 1981). A clinical analysis was done for assessing the effect of antenatal care in improving foetal outcome in twin gestation.

Material and Methods

Three hundred patients of twin pregnancy who delivered in Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital during the years 1977-1979 were studied. They were divided into two groups.

I. Antenatal group: This consisted of 125 patients who attended antenatal clinic twice or more and those who were hospitalised for 1 week or more after diagnosis of twin pregnancy.

II. Emergency group: This included 175 patients who came to hospital as emer-

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lady Hardinge Medical College and Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital, New Delhi-110 001.

Accepted for publication on 24-11-81.

gency admissions in labour. Detailed history and clinical examination was done, antenatal and labour records were kept and babies were followed till their stay in hospital.

Observations

Perinatal Mortality: Perinatal mortality in the antenatal and emergency group is shown in Table I.

In antenatal group, 17.6% of babies died as compared to 46.28% in emergency group. The difference in perinatal mortality in the two groups was highly significant statistically (P < .001).

Stillbirths

Table II shows the stillbirth rate. The difference in the stillbirth rate was not statistically significant (P > .05).

Neonatal Deaths

The neonatal deaths is shown in Table III. The difference in neonatal deaths was statistically highly significant (P<.001).

Birth Weight

Table IV shows the distribution of birth weight in these two groups.

^{*}Part of thesis accepted in part fulfilment of Postgraduate M.D. Examination of the University of Delhi.

^{**}Senior Resident.

^{***} Assistant Professor.

TABLE I Perinatal Mortality

	Peri	natal Mortality	1		
All The Market		No. of	% of	No. of	% babies
Group	No. of	babies	live	babies	died
	babies	alive	babies	died	
Antenatal	250	206	82.4	44	17.6
(group)					
Emergency	350	188	53.7	162	46.28
(group)					
Total	600	394	65.66	206	34.33
				P<.0	01 .
	ini emeterimba vol	TABLE II			
	and Calmie and	Stillbirths	+		
	Total	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
Group	No. of	still	still	live	live
	babies	births	births	babies	babies
Antenatal				Filter and the	-
(group)	250	15	6	235	94
Emergency					
(group)	350	32	9.1	318	90.9
Total	600	47	7.8	553	92.16
	de la	191		P>.0)5
		TABLE III			
	Ne	onatal Deaths			
in the state of the	Total	No .of	% of	No. of	% of
Group	No. of	neonatal	neonatal	living	living
	live	deaths	deaths	babies	babies
(100)	births				
Antenatal		125	1	102/11/14	-17.77
group	235	29	12.34	206	87.66
Emergency		The Post of	itovi sieli	CHARLES THOMAS	01.00
group	318	130	40.9	188	59.1
Total	553	159	28.75	394	71.24
	300	100	20.10	35-1	
					P < .00°
		TABLE IV			
	E	Birth Weight		220 4021-010	
and in the state of a	drois is not in 1	<2 kg	2-3	kg	>3 kg
Group	Total	No. of	No. of	No.	of
		babies %		% bab	
Antenatal		16.			
(group)	250	75 30	166	66.4	3.6
Emergency	-2-r-m		_00	The sign is	0.0
(group)	350	229 6	5.4 118	33.7	3 0.8
			0.00		
Total	600	304 5	0.6 284	47.3 1	2 2

22.24

In emergency group, 65.4% of babies were less than 2 Kg while in antenatal group 30% weighed less than 2 Kg. The difference in weight distribution in the two groups was highly significant statistically. (P < .001).

Mean Birth Weight

Mean birth weight was higher in antenatal group as compared to emergency group, but the difference was not significant statistically (P > .05).

Birth Weight and Perinatal Mortality

Relationship between birth weight and perinatal mortality is shown in Table V. Above 2 Kg. weight, perinatal mortality was quite low (4%).

Maturity of Twin Babies: Table VI shows the maturity of twin babies.

Maturity was less than 36 weeks in 1.8% of cases in emergency group as mpared to 30% in antenatal group. The rence in the maturity distribution in two groups was statistically highly ficant (P < .001). By antenatal care tion of pregnancy could be prolonged.

n Maturity

can maturity was higher in antenatal up as compared to emergency group. difference was not statistically signicant (P > .05).

Maturity and Perinatal Mortality

Perinatal mortality in relation to maturity of babies is shown in Table VII. Below 34 weeks of maturity most of the twin babies died. Mortality decreased markedly after 36 weeks.

Foetal Loss Due to Maternal Complications

Table VIII shows the foetal loss due to maternal complications.

Mortality	
Perinatal	
and	
Weight	
Birth	
of	
ationship	
1	

	Total	No.	38 88 88 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
		No. of babies born	53 116 161 190 72 8
		% died	100 98.8 23.85 4.76 4.16
	Emergency group	No.	26 88 89 89 1 4 4 4 4 4
	Emerge	No. of babies born	2888221
		died	100 65.38 19.03 3.77 2.08
	Antenatal group	No, died	1170
	Ante	No. of babies born	111 26 52 106 48 7
711	())	Worlden.	grant.
	Birh	weight in Kg.	1-1.5 1.5-2 2-2.5 2.5-3 2.5-3

H	
VI	mitas
4	41.00
ABL	Marte
LA	2

Group	Total No. of	\3 *	<34 weeks	35. We	35-36 weeks	36-37 weeks	37 sks	> 38	> 38 weeks
	babies	No.	%	No.	No. %.	No. %	%	No	%
Antenatal group	250	37	14.8	38	15.2	136	54.4	39	15.6
group	350	142	40.5	74	21	100	28.5	34	9.7
Total	009	. 179	29.8	102	17	236	39.3	73	12.1
					-				

TABLE VII

Relationship of Maturity of Babies and Perinatal Mortality

TRIC	S AND C	GYNAECOLOGY	OF :
	% died	100 92.85 78.33 22.1 8.62 5.6	34.33
	No. died	466 65 65 23 20 5	206
Total	No, of babies born	46 70 60 104 232 88	009
	% died	100 100 27.41 10.25 57.1	46.28
group	No. died	34811 34813 4	162
ney	No. of babies born	25. 28. 28. 25. 25. 25. 27.	350
	% died	100 8(40.09 14.28 5.29 1.2	17.6
ital group	of No. died	4000041	4.4
Antene	No. of babies born	22 22 42 76 76	250
Gestation	in weeks	30-32 30-32 32-34 34-36 36-38 36-38	Total

TABLE VIII

Foetal Loss due to Maternal Complications

	and the state of t	the second second	and the state of t					-	
	Antenatal group	dhoas		Emerger	Emergency group		То	Total	
Maternal Complications	No. of babies	No. died	% died	No. of babies	No. died	% died	No. of babies	No. died	bedlo %
	born	,		born			born		
P.E.T.	42	25	11.9	52	15	28.8	94	20	21.3
ld PET	38	673	7.9	24	6	37.5	62	12	19.4
vere PET	4	N	50	28	9	21.4	32	00	25
(2) Eclampsia	.23	0	0	12	. 7	58.3	14	7	50
(3) Hydramnios	99	10	15.2	20	22	44	116	32	27.6
(4) A.P.M. Accidental	9	1	16.7	10	9	09	16	7	43.8
haemorrhage Placenta previa	4 21	1 0	25	00 M	9 0	75	12	7 0	58.3
(5) Anaemia 6-10 gm <6 gm Dimorphic	88 98 87	17 17 0 0	17.34 18.9 0	186 166 20 8	117 104 13	62.9 62.6 65 12.5	284 256 28 10	134	47.2 47.26 46.42

Patients with maternal complications in the antenatal group had a lower foetal mortality than the emergency group.

Causes of Stillbirths

Cause of stillbirth is shown in Table IX. In 65.2% of cases, cause was not known. None of the babies had post mortem examination.

TABLE IX Causes of Stillbirths

Causes	No. of S.B.	%
Gestational hypertension	2	4.34%
APH	3	6.52
Foetus papyraceous	2	4.34%
Inter twinning of cords	2	4.34%
P.E.T.	3	6.52%
Congenital anomaly	3	6.52%
Cord prolapse	1	2.17%
Unknown	30	65.2%

Causes of Neonatal Deaths (Table X)

Prematurity was present 99.37% of twin deaths and 51.57% of babies died due to prematurity alone.

reduction was mainly due to decreased neonatal deaths, rather than stillbirths. Antenatal care also reduced the incidence of low birth weight babies.

Prematurity alone contributed to 51.6% of foetal loss. Among neonatal deaths, 99.37% of babies were premature, showing that prematurity was responsible directly or indirectly for foetal loss in almost all cases.

According to Ho and Wu (1975) 91% of deaths occurred in preterm infants. Foetal loss in premature group was 39% as compared to 3.75% in mature group in our series (Table V). Patel and Patel (1961) reported a foetal loss of 26.4% premature babies and 7.8% in mature babies.

The mean duration of twin gestation in this study was 36.15 weeks which was less than that reported by others (Ho and Wu 1975, Joupilla et al 1975). When the group who had antenatal care was considered, the mean duration was 36.91 weeks which compared well with others.

In the present series PNM dropped suddenly after 36 weeks. Perinatal death in

TABLE X
Cause of Neonatal Deaths

Causes of death	No. of babies died	% of babies died
Prematurity	158	99.37
Only prematurity	82	51.57
Birth asphyxia	33	20.75
RDS	6	3.8
Septaecemia	24	15
Congenital anomaly	7	4.4
Cord prolapse	3	1.88
Pulmonary haemorrhage	4	2.5
Faundice Faundice	1	0.62
Gastroenteritis	9	5.66

Discussion

Perinatal mortality was reduced statistically significantly by antenatal care. This

our study at any period of gestation below-37 weeks was higher as compared to that reported by Farooqui et al (1973) and Joupilla et al (1975). In the present study, 86.6% of twin babies weighed less than 2500 gms as compared to 54% reported by Seski and Miller (1963). Mean birth weight of babies varied from 2031 gms (Munnel and Taylor 1946) to 2567 gms (Joupilla et al 1975). In this series, mean birth weight was 2027 gms. It was more in antenatal group (2172 gms) as compared to emergency group (1738 gms) though not significant statistically.

PNM for P.E.T. patients was 28.8% in emergency group as compared to 11.9% in antenatal group. Foetal loss in PET group was less than overall perinatal loss (34.33%), Bender (1952) and Tow (1959) found similar results. Hydramnios did not alter perinatal loss, though Tow (1959) reported double the overall mortality with hydramnios.

Summary

- 1. Antenatal care decreased perinatal mortality significantly.
- Prematurity was responsible for foetal loss directly or indirectly in almost all cases.
- 3. Antenatal care could prolong twin gestation and increase the birth weight.

4. Maternal complications were not associated with increased perinatal mortality in our series.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the Principal and Medical Superintendent, Lady Hardinge Medical College and Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital New Delhi for permitting us to publish this paper.

References

- Bender, S.: J. Obstet. Gynaec. Brit. Emp. 59: 510, 1952.
- Farooqui, M. O., Grossman, J. H. and Shannon, R. H.: Obstet. Gynaec. Survey, 28: 144, 1973.
- Ho, S. K. and Wu, P.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 122: 979, 1975.
- Joupilla, P., Kaupilla, A. Koivist, O. M.: Acta, Obstet. Gynaec. Scand (Suppl.), 44: 13, 1975.
- Munnel, E. W. and Taylor, H. C.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 52: 588, 1946.
- Patel, V. G. and Patel, B. C.: J. Obstet. Gynaec. India, 12: 676, 1961.
- Roy Chowdhury, N. N. and Sikdar, K.:
 J. Obstet. Gynaec. India, 31: 125, 1981.
- 8. Seski, A. G. and Miller, L. A.: Obstet.
- Gynec. 21: 227, 1963.9. Tow, S. H.: J. Obstet. Gynaec. Brit. Emp. 66: 444, 1959.